



605.725.1000 888.919 894 605.725 1050 fax

February 6, 2019

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW, Suite TW-A325

Re:

EB Docket No. 06-36

Annual Section 64-2009(e) CPNI Certification

Northern Valley Communications, LLC (499 Filer ID: 820868)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Northern Valley Communications ("Northern Valley") and pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 64.2009(e) of the Commission's rules, I am attaching Northern Valley's Annual CPNI Certification and Accompanying Statement.

Please contact me with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Tanya Berndt <u>Tanya.berndt@corp.nvc.net</u> (605) 725-1073

Encl.

Annual 47 C.F.R. § 64.2009(e) CPNI Certification EB Docket 06-36

Annual 64.2009(e) CPNI 2019 Certification covering the prior calendar year 2018
Date filed: 2/6/9
Name of company covered by this certification: Northern Valley Communications, LLC

Form 499 Filer ID: 820868

Name of signatory: James Groft

Title of signatory: CEO

I, James Groft certify that I am an officer of the company named above, and acting as an agent of the company, that I have personal knowledge that the company has established operating procedures that are adequate to ensure compliance with the Commission's CPNI rules. See 47 C.F.R. § 64.2001 et seq.

Attached to this certification is an accompanying statement explaining how the company's procedures ensure that the company is in compliance with the requirements (including those mandating the adoption of CPNI procedures, training, safeguards, recordkeeping, and supervisory review) set forth in section 64.2001 et seq. of the Commission's rules.

The company has not taken actions (*i.e.*, proceedings instituted or petitions filed by a company at either state commissions, the court system, or at the Commission against data brokers) against data brokers in the past year.

The Company has not received customer complaints in the past year concerning the unauthorized release of CPNI.

The company represents and warrants that the above certification is consistent with 47. C.F.R. §1.17 which requires truthful and accurate statements to the Commission. The company also acknowledges that false statements and misrepresentations to the Commission are punishable under Title 18 of the U.S. Code and may subject it to enforcement action.

Signed James Groft

CPNI Compliance Accompanying Statement

Year: 2018

Northern Valley Communications, LLC

This accompanying statement explains Northern Valley Communications' operating procedures ensure that the company is in compliance with the rules governing CPNI as found in Subpart U – Customer Proprietary Network Information – Part 64 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Northern Valley Communications adheres to all CPNI rules as stated in section 64.2001 – 64.2011 concerning the proper use of our customer's CPNI. Specifically, our notice for use of CPNI approval process meets all requirements as listed in Section 64.2008. To further protect our customer's privacy, we have implemented all safeguards required in Section 64.2009. This includes:

- The implementation of a system by which the status of a customer's CPNI approval can be clearly established prior to the use of CPNI;
- The training of appropriate personnel as to when they are, and are not, authorized to use CPNI and the documentation of this training;
- The implementation of an express disciplinary process for CPNI violations up to and including termination;
- The maintenance of a record, for at least one year, of our own, and our affiliates' sales and marketing campaigns;
- The establishment of a supervisory review process regarding carrier compliance with the federal CPNI rules for outbound marketing situations;
- The establishment of annual certification by a corporate officer with personal knowledge of Northern Valley Communications' policies and procedures to ensure compliance with the federal CPNI rules; and
- The establishment of procedures for notification of the Commission within 5 business days of any instance where opt-out mechanisms do not work properly, to such a degree that consumers' inability to opt-out is more than an anomaly.